2	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/481,069	BAKMAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	CESAR B PAULA	2178
All Participants:	Status of Application:	: <u>ALLOWED</u>
(1) CESAR B PAULA.	(3)	
(2) <u>STAN TORGOVITSKY</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 December 2004	Time: <u>9.00 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	oplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 114-165		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GI See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT \	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	 The examiner will provide a vertex record of the substance of 	written summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appli	icant/Applicant's Representative	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: MR. TORGOVITSKY INDICATED APPLICANTS' AUTHORIZATION TO CANCEL CLAIMS 114-165, WHIICH DIFFER IN SCOPE FROM THE ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER FOUND IN INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 1, 8, 18, 36, 39, 43, 49, 55, 59, 67, 78, 80, 86, 91, 94, 95, AND 97, AND REQUIRE FURTHER SEARCH AND/CONSIDERATION. THE CANCELED CLAIMS WOULD BE FILED AS A CONTINUATION IN ORDER TO EXPEDITE PROSECUTION OF THIS CASE. AUTHORIZATION WAS ALSO GRANTED TO AMEND ALL THE INFEPENDENT CLAIMS TO CORRECT LACK OF ANTECEDENT ISSUES.